

## Kemp Robert

---

**From:** Philip Clark <philip.clark@redrow.co.uk>  
**Sent:** 06 February 2015 15:07  
**To:** Kemp Robert  
**Subject:** RE: Diversion of part of footpath No.1 Hauxton  
**Attachments:** D907\_142 Footpath Closure and Diversion Layout 2 A3@1-1000 .pdf

Hi Robert,

The approved landscaping drawings for this area specify a Hoggin footpath with a timber peg and board edging.

I'm glad you e-mailed as I need to catch up with you on a few items, are you please able to advise on the following please;

- Progress on the Footpath 1 application. (Redrow)
- Progress on the Footpath 5 application. (Harrow)
- We need to submit a temporary footpath diversion application to move the connection point on Cambridge Road (shown blue dot on the attached) slightly further north during the S278 highway works in this area. I understand Harrow have previously had a diversion in place for this area so would be keen to see if we can extend / renew their application to cover this temporary diversion rather than submitting a new application. Could you confirm if this is possible please?

If easier, happy to discuss on the phone.

---

**From:** Kemp Robert [mailto:Robert.Kemp@cambridgeshire.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 06 February 2015 14:47  
**To:** Philip Clark  
**Subject:** RE: Diversion of part of footpath No.1 Hauxton

Hello Philip,

Would you be able to confirm your plans for the surface of the path when the site is built? Your application form mentions that the path will eventually be resurfaced to ensure a smooth and even surface as part of the wider landscaping and ecology plan. Do you have any details of what this surface will actually be?

Many thanks.

Robert

---

**From:** Philip Clark [mailto:philip.clark@redrow.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 18 December 2014 14:22  
**To:** Kemp Robert  
**Subject:** RE: Diversion of part of footpath No.1 Hauxton

Good Afternoon Robert,

Please find attached the completed form as requested.

We are currently in the final stages of buying the site so could you please confirm that the landowner section will have no detrimental effect on the application as currently written?

Thanks.